

11 Publication number: **0 548 542 A1**

12 **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

21 Application number: 92119734.9

51 Int. Cl.5: **H03B 19/14, H03G 3/30**

22 Date of filing: 19.11.92

30 Priority: 27.11.91 IT MI913168

43 Date of publication of application:
30.06.93 Bulletin 93/26

84 Designated Contracting States:
DE ES FR GB GR IT SE

71 Applicant: **SIEMENS TELECOMUNICAZIONI
S.P.A.**
SS. 11 Padana Superiore Km. 158
I-20060 Cassina de Pecchi (Milano)(IT)

72 Inventor: **Piloni, Marco**
Via XI Febbraio 29
I-20090 Vimodrone MI(IT)
Inventor: **Brambilla, Massimo**
Via Pio XI, 9
I-20050 Ronco Briantino (MI)(IT)

54 **Radiofrequency frequency multiplier comprising an automatic level control circuit.**

57 Radiofrequency frequency multiplier comprising an automatic level control circuit consisting of a microwave MESFET (T1) used as an oscillator signal, distorter amplifier followed by a band-pass filter (FPB) tuned to the desired harmonic.

The power of the harmonic is stabilized by means of a control circuit (CONTR) placed between the gate (G) and drain (D) terminals of the MESFET. Said control circuit operates as a feedforward controller varying the polarization voltage V_{DS} of the MESFET with the variation in the power level of the oscillator signal in accordance with a law which holds constant the power transferred from the MESFET to the selected output harmonic.




FIG. 1

0 548 542 A1

The present invention lies in the field of the art which concerns the generation of sinusoidal signals with sample frequency and more specifically a radiofrequency frequency multiplier comprising an automatic level control circuit.

It is known that in various applications of the electronic art there arises the problem of having sinusoidal reference signals having an appropriately preset frequency.

For example, in receiving and transmitting equipment it is essential to have a local oscillator signal to actuate the necessary and known frequency conversions.

In radionavigation there is a network of radiobeacons for supervision of the routes travelled by ships and aircraft. Each radiobeacon transmits continuously a very powerful sinusoidal radiofrequency signal of its own.

The cited examples concern, for the sake of brevity, only two of the many possible uses of radiofrequency reference signals.

It is often advantageous to generate said reference signals by using very low-frequency oscillators because this is easier to implement. It is then sufficient to multiply the oscillator signal frequency by the necessary integer number.

Frequency multiplication is known to be carried out by causing the submultiple frequency signal to transit through a device which distorts the input signal and generates the harmonics of a higher order. The multiple frequency signal is obtained by filtering the distorted signal by means of a band-pass filter with narrow band tuned to the corresponding harmonic at the desired frequency.

In the majority of technical applications it is required that the reference signal obtained by frequency multiplication have a constant power level. But in practice there may occur cases in which the oscillator signal level displays ample fluctuations and in this case, if appropriate measures are not taken, even the signal obtained by multiplication is affected by said fluctuations. This eventuality occurs mainly when the oscillator is not placed in the same apparatus including the multiplier, for example when the oscillator signal reaches the multiplier over a coaxial cable.

To remedy the above shortcoming the known reference-signal generators which perform the frequency multiplication also include a control circuit which regulates the output signal power.

A first example of a known generator, of the non-feedback type, regulates the power level of the signal generated by means of an amplitude compressor device placed at the frequency multiplier output.

Said generator, while being easy to make, displays several shortcomings. A first shortcoming is that it excessively limits the dynamics of the os-

illator signal power levels. A second shortcoming is that the amplitude compression device introduces an excessive contribution of harmonics of an undesired order. Lastly, stabilization of the power level is poor.

A second example of a known generator, of the feedback type, includes an oscillator signal level regulator placed between the oscillator and the frequency multiplier. The signal which controls the level regulator is supplied by a feedback element connected between the frequency multiplier device output and the level regulator control input.

The principal shortcoming of this second generator is that its implementation is very costly, especially when the signal to be frequency-multiplied is in the microwave range. Indeed, in this case it is necessary to provide, in accordance with microwave technology, both the feedback element (power detector) and the oscillator signal level regulator (variable attenuator). It is also necessary to insert a coupler between the multiplier output and the feedback element.

Accordingly the purpose of the present invention is to overcome the above shortcomings and indicate a radiofrequency sinusoidal signal generator consisting of a frequency multiplier controlling automatically the power level of the harmonic generated.

To achieve said purpose, the scope of the present invention is a radiofrequency frequency multiplier comprising a transistor which amplifies and distorts an oscillator signal, a band-pass filter placed at the output of the transistor tuned to the desired harmonic at the output, and a control circuit stabilizing the power of said harmonic by automatically varying the polarization voltage of the transistor with variation of the oscillator signal power level, as better described in claim 1.

The main novelty of the frequency multiplier which is the subject of the present invention, in comparison with the known art, is that it includes a control circuit which supplies the transistor while polarizing it. Said circuit can also be seen as an ideal generator of a voltage made variable in accordance with an appropriate regulation function depending on the type of transistor used, the order of the harmonic selected at the output and the power level it is intended to stabilize.

Said control circuit operates under quasi-direct current conditions regardless of the frequency of the signal whose power is controlled. Consequently the radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with the present invention is economical and easy to implement whatever be the frequency of the signal generated. In particular, it is particularly advantageous when the oscillator signal has a frequency in the microwave range.

Another advantage is the fact that the power of the harmonic signal generated is stabilized without introducing limitations in the oscillator signal power level dynamics.

Additional purposes and advantages of the present invention will be made clear by the detailed description given below of an example of embodiment thereof, and the annexed drawings given merely by way of nonlimiting example wherein:-

FIG. 1 shows a partially-block circuit diagram of the radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with the present invention.

FIGS. 2, 3, 4 and 5 show diagrams of some electrical characteristics illustrating the operation of the radiofrequency frequency multiplier of FIG. 1, and

FIG. 6 shows the detailed circuit diagram of a control block belonging to the frequency multiplier of FIG. 1.

With reference to FIG. 1, T1 indicates a MESFET field effect transistor whose gate terminal G is connected to one end of a capacitor C1, of a resistor R_G and of an inductor L1 respectively. The second ends C1, R_G and L1 are respectively connected to an input gate IN, to ground and to the input terminal 1 of a block CONTR, whose output terminal 2 is connected to one end of an inductor L2. The other end of L2 is connected to the drain terminal D of T1 and to one end of a capacitor C2 whose other end is connected to the input of a band-pass filter FPB. The source terminal S of T1 is connected directly to ground. The output of the filter FPB is connected to an output gate OUT. A resistor R_L is connected between the gate OUT and ground. The block CONTR is further connected to a source of direct current power which supplies the positive voltage +V and negative voltage -V.

In operation, the circuit of FIG. 1 relates to a radiofrequency frequency multiplier operating in the microwave range. More specifically, at the input gate IN arrives a signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ coming from an oscillator not shown in the figure, whose oscillation frequency $f_0 = \omega_0 / 2\pi$ is 12GHz. At the output gate OUT can be taken a signal $v_o \cos(2\omega_0 t)$ corresponding to the second harmonic component at a frequency of 24GHz.

The circuit is made by the thin film hybrid circuit technique. All the interconnections indicated are in microstrip. The inductor L1 is a high-impedance line for the oscillator signal at a frequency of 12GHz and a very low impedance line for the direct current (obtained by appropriate coupling of sections of line in $\lambda/4$ in a manner known to those skilled in the art). The inductor L2 is a very low impedance line for the direct current and a high impedance line for the 12GHz fundamental and for the 24GHz second harmonic at the output of T1.

The capacitors C1 and C2 are made with coupled lines, the resistors R_G and R_L are microstrip line sections which constitute resistive terminations for the signal currents. The MESFET T1 used in the circuit is a NEC 673 well suited to the frequencies in question. The signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ is coupled to the terminal of the source G of T1 by means of the capacitor C1 and the termination R_G .

The block CONTR, whose detailed operation is illustrated below, is a control circuit which polarizes in a variable manner the channel of the MESFET T1. The above control circuit consists predominantly of operational amplifiers and functions in a quasi-direct current condition. The polarization currents pass unaltered through L1 and L2 which behave like short circuits therefor; whereas otherwise transit of the oscillator signals and the fundamental, with all the harmonics at the output of T1 toward the input and output respectively of the control circuit CONTR, is prevented because L1 and L2 behave like open circuits for signals with frequencies $\geq f_0$. Dually, the capacitance values of C1 and C2 are such that they behave like open circuits for the polarization currents and like short circuits for signals with frequencies $\geq f_0$.

In the absence of the signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ at the gate IN, the block CONTR generates a weakly positive direct voltage V_{GS} . In this case the drain polarization current I_D would tend to take on a value slightly higher than that of saturation I_{DSS} . Said value is not however reached because the block CONTR introduces an appropriate limitation in the value of the current I_D . Said block, in the absence of the signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$, generates at the terminal 2 an output voltage whose value is slightly less than that of supply +V. Since there are no resistors in series with L2, the output voltage of the block CONTR coincides with the polarization voltage V_{DS} of the MESFET T1.

In the presence of the signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ at the gate IN, the gate-source junction of T1 half-wave rectifies said signal. More specifically the positive half-waves make current circulate in the gate-source junction of T1, and in this case there is a small positive voltage across the junction and the drain current is the current I_D . The negative half-waves inversely polarize the gate-source junction of T1, causing drain current pulses $i_D(t)$. The capacitor C1 and the resistor R_G form a high-pass filtering section which filters the signal rectified by the gate-source junction of T1. In operation, there forms at the ends of C1 a direct voltage V_{GS} of negative value corresponding to the direct component of the rectified signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$. Said voltage V_{GS} contributes to polarization of the gate-source junction of T1 and, through the inductor L1, is applied to the input terminal 1 of the block CONTR to control its operation.

The pulses of drain current i_D , as is known from Fourier's series development of the mathematical function $i_D(t)$, contain a sinusoidal component at the fundamental frequency f_0 plus the higher order harmonics.

The current i_D passes through the capacitor C2 and reaches the band-pass filter FPB which lets pass unchanged toward the output gate OUT only the second harmonic component at the frequency of 24GHz. Said filter is provided in accordance with known design techniques of microwave filters. Its input section comprises a microstrip adaptation network which reflects the fundamental output again back toward the drain terminal of T1. The distance between FPB and T1 is such that the wave reflected is added in phase with the fundamental included in the current i_D , allowing transfer of a greater power to the second harmonic. The relative band width around the frequency $2f_0$ is approximately 10%, which is equivalent to 2.4GHz, and allows wide variations in the oscillator frequency.

The block CONTR controls the power P_{out} acting as a feedforward controller. For this purpose it receives at the input the information on the power level of the signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$, to which the voltage VGS is directly correlated, and outputs an appropriate voltage for polarization of the MESFET T1.

Stabilization of the power level by the block CONTR may be immediately appraised by an examination of FIG. 2, which shows a diagram having on the abscissa the power P_{in} of the oscillator signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ and on the ordinate the power P_{out} of the output signal $v_o \cos(2\omega_0 t)$. The diagram includes two curves indicated by P_{let} and P_{gen} . The curve P_{let} refers to a measurement performed only on the transistor T1 without the action of the block CONTR, while the curve P_{gen} relates to the complete circuit of FIG. 1. In both cases the curves were obtained for a constant value of 0.88V of the polarization voltage V_{DS} . As may be seen, the stabilization effect is considerable.

As may be seen in FIG. 1, and as better seen from an examination of the circuit of FIG. 6, the control circuit CONTR supplies directly the transistor T1 with a supply voltage which varies depending on the power of the input signal. The control action consists of the fact that varying the supply voltage of T1 varies in the same measure the polarization voltage V_{DS} , and consequently the maximum swing of voltage V_{DS} on the load R_L , related to the output signal power by the relation $P_{out} = v_{DS}^2 / 2R_L$.

In all the examples of known signal generators including transistors used as amplifiers/distorters, there does not appear to be a control circuit regulating the power of the output signal by automatically varying the device supply voltage. On the

contrary, in normal circuit applications of transistors, there are generally used appropriate precautions to stabilize the operating point against variations in the transistor supply voltage.

The feasibility of the block CONTR depends on whether there is a regulating function $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ which holds constant the power P_{out} with the variation of P_{in} . Once existence is ascertained, the input/output transfer characteristic of the block CONTR must approximate the curve $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ for the MESFET T1 with a P_{out} of approximately 8dBm. The existence of such a regulating function can be demonstrated theoretically. Its determination in relation to the frequency multiplier which is the object of the present invention is made directly experimentally as is shown below.

Demonstration of the existence of a regulation function $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ consists of obtaining mathematically said function starting from the expression of the output power P_{out} . As is known, $P_{out} = (I_n^2 R_L) / 2$, where I_n is the current associated with the order n harmonic of the Fourier series development of the mathematical function representing the total drain current $i_D(t)$.

A method which makes it possible to find the function $i_D(t)$ and calculate the coefficients of the associated Fourier development series is illustrated in the appendix to chapter four (pages 144-148) of the volume entitled "Communication Circuits: Analysis and Design" by Kenneth K. Clarke and Donald T. Hess published by Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1971.

The method described assumes knowledge of the transfer characteristic $i_D(V_{GS})$ of the MESFET T1. Expression of the above characteristic, shown on pages 134 and 135 of said volume, in a first approximation is $i_D = I_{DSS}(1 - V_{GS}/V_p)^2$, where the term I_{DSS} is the drain saturation current (drain current of the MESFET for $V_{GS} = 0$, with any V_{DS} value in the saturation zone) and the term V_p is the pinch-off voltage (value of V_{GS} for $i_D = 0$).

In said volume it is also shown a chart of the current $i_D(t)$ obtained by points in a subsequent step of the method projecting the points of a function $v_{GS}(t) = v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ on the transfer function $i_D(V_{GS})$ of the MESFET. The chart shows a succession of current pulses $i_D(t)$ in the correspondence with the positive half-periods of $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$. The width of each pulse corresponds to the half-wave fraction of v_i in which circulates drain current in the MESFET, as happens for an amplifier operating in class C. Calculation of the Fourier coefficients is then completed with the help of a parameter ϕ called "circulation angle" and introduced to limit the field of integration to the actual width of the current pulse i_D .

In the examples shown in FIG. 4.A-2 on page 147 of the above mentioned volume, it is $\phi = \text{arc}$

$\cos(V_p/v_i)$, where v_i is the maximum amplitude of the signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ and V_p is the pinch-off voltage of the MESFET. In the majority of practical applications, as for example in the case for T1, the circulation angle ϕ is also a function of the polarization values V_{GS} and V_{DS} .

In the final phase of the method there is found the mathematical expression of the generic coefficient I_n . The latter is a rather complex function of the angle ϕ and of the peak value I_p of the current pulse i_D . The peak I_p depends in turn on I_{DSS} and on the square of v_i .

The above mentioned calculation method is applicable also in the case of MESFET T1, because in this case also the current $i_D(t)$ consists of a succession of pulses similar to those of the above chart. The principal differences between the operation of T1 and that of the FET of the mentioned method is that in T1 the pulses $i_D(t)$ circulate in the correspondence with the negative half-waves of $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ and T1 is piloted in the linear zone starting from saturation rather than from interdiction. As may be seen, the size of the differences is not particularly great.

A normalized representation (I_n/I_p) of the trend of the first three coefficients as a function of the angle ϕ is shown in FIG. 4.4-4 on page 102 of the volume mentioned above.

The power $P_{out} = (I_n^2 R_L)/2$ of a generic harmonic selected at the output, as may be deduced from the whole set of the above theoretical considerations, is a function of the physical parameters (I_{DSS}, V_p) of T1 and of the amplitude v_i of the oscillation signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$; therefore $P_{out} = P_{out}(I_{DSS}, V_p, v_i)$. Recalling that V_{GS} depended on the rectification of the signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ by T1, we have $P_{out} = P_{out}(I_{DSS}, V_p, V_{GS})$.

The above theoretical discussion does not at all show the dependency of P_{out} on the polarization voltage V_{DS} , because the first approximation did not take into account the channel resistor r_o of T1. It is possible to take into account said resistor in the method of calculating the coefficients I_n of the Fourier development of the current $i_D(t)$ by substituting for the saturation current I_{DSS} a saturation current

$$I'_{DSS} = I_{DSS} [1 + (V_{DS} + V_p)/(r_o I_{DSS})]$$

which shows in the expression of P_{out} its dependency on V_{DS} .

Summarizing, the expression of P_{out} is:

$$P_{out} = P_{out}(I_{DSS}, V_p, V_{GS}, V_{DS}).$$

Since after selection of the particular type of MESFET to be used in the circuit, the parameters I_{DSS} , V_p and r_o are constant, the expression of P_{out}

can be simplified, obtaining definitively $P_{out} = P_{out}(V_{GS}, V_{DS})$.

It is now possible to determine the regulating function $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ in a purely analytical manner by selecting first the order of the harmonic selected at the output and then determining the function P_{out} for said harmonic. Then the value of P_{out} which is intended to stabilize is selected, namely a value which is generally not far from the maximum value which the FET is capable of transferring to the selected harmonic. Lastly, in the relation $P_{out}(V_{GS}, V_{DS}) = \text{constant}$ the variable V_{DS} is made explicit, obtaining the desired regulating function $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$.

As can be seen, determination of the regulating function mathematically is difficult to achieve because too complicated. The sequence of steps of the calculation method is illustrated primarily to demonstrate the conceptual existence of such a function. In practice it is simpler to find the regulating function experimentally through a series of measurements made on the MESFET T1 as is shown in the discussion of FIGS. 3, 4 and 5 which relate to measurements made only on the MESFET T1, i.e. excluding the control circuit CONTR.

FIG. 3 shows a diagram having on the abscissa the logarithm of the power P_{in} of the oscillator signal $v_i \cos(\omega_0 t)$ and on the ordinate the polarization voltage module V_{GS} of T1. The curve was plotted for a constant value of 0.88V of the polarization voltage V_{DS} . It can be seen that V_{GS} increases linearly with the decimal logarithm of the power P_{in} , and it can therefore be deduced that the gate-source junction of T1 behaves like an oscillation signal power detector.

FIG. 4 shows a diagram having on the abscissa the polarization voltage V_{DS} of T1 and on the ordinate the logarithm of the power P_{out} of the second harmonic component $v_o \cos(2\omega_0 t)$ measured on the load R_L . The curve was plotted by taking to the input of T1 an oscillator signal having a constant power of 10dBm. It can be noted that the logarithm of P_{out} depends almost linearly on V_{DS} , which demonstrates the feasibility of a circuit regulating the P_{out} by acting on the V_{DS} .

FIG. 5 shows a diagram having on the abscissa the voltage V_{GS} and on the ordinate the polarization voltage V_{DS} of T1. The chart includes two curves of which the first, indicated by G1, represents the regulating function $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ obtained experimentally. The second, indicated by G2, represents the transfer function of the block CONTR. The curve G2 approximates the curve G1 by means of two segments of a straight line with different slopes G2' and G2'', contiguous in a point A.

The curve G1 is obtained by points, causing the P_{in} to vary and measuring the corresponding voltage V_{GS} . For each value of V_{GS} the voltage V_{DS}

was varied until the power P_{out} of the signal $v_o \cos(2\omega_o t)$ measured on the load R_L had a constant value of 8dBm. On the ordinate are shown the corresponding values of V_{DS} . As may be seen the curve G1 has a decreasing exponential trend. The significant variation of the function $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ occurs within approximately a third of the values shown on the abscissa axis V_{GS} . This characteristic lends itself well to the two-segment approximation of the curve G2. In fact, the segment G2' has a higher slope and approximates the first part of the function. The segment G2'' has a lower slope and approximates the asymptotic part. The circuit of FIG. 6 synthesizes the curve G2 using operational amplifiers.

With reference to FIG. 6, OP1, OP2, OP3 and OP4 indicate four identical operational amplifiers supplied in common by the voltages +V and -V. The inverting input (-) of OP1 is connected to one end of two resistors R3 and R6 whose other ends are connected to ground and to the output of OP1 respectively. The noninverting terminal (+) of OP1 is connected to one end of two resistors R4 and R5, whose other ends are respectively connected to the input terminal 1 of the control circuit CONTR and to the middle point of the series of two resistors R1 and R2 which have their other ends connected to the source of the voltage +V and to ground.

The input (+) of OP2 is connected directly to ground. The input (-) of said operational amplifier is connected to one end of three resistors R9, R10 and R11, whose other ends are respectively connected to the input terminal 1 of CONTR, the middle point of the series of two resistors R7 and R8, and the cathode of a diode D1, whose anode is connected to the output of OP2. The other ends of R7 and R8 are respectively connected to the source of the voltage +V and ground. A resistor R12 is connected between the cathode of the diode D1 and ground.

The input (+) of OP3 is connected directly to ground. The input (-) of said operational is connected to one end of two resistors R14 and R15 whose other ends are respectively connected to the anode of a diode D2 and the output of OP3. A resistor R13 is connected between the source of the voltage +V and the anode of the diode D2 whose cathode is connected to ground.

The input (-) of OP4 is connected to one end of two resistors R16 and R20 whose other ends are respectively connected to ground and to the output of OP4, and the latter is connected to the terminal of output 2 of the circuit CONTR. The input (+) of OP4 is connected to one end of three resistors R17, R18 and R19 whose other ends are respectively connected to the output of OP1, the cathode of diode D1 and the output of OP3. The output

voltages of OP1, OP2 and OP3 are indicated by V1, V2 and V3 respectively. The output voltage of OP4 is the polarization voltage V_{DS} of the MESFET T1.

In operation, the operational amplifier OP1 is a noninverting voltage adder; its output voltage V1 is given by the expression $V1 = \mu_1 V_{GS} + K1$, where μ_1 is the closed-loop voltage gain of the adder OP1, and K1 is the voltage V1 for $V_{GS} = 0$. The term $\mu_1 > 0$ is determined by the choice of appropriate values of the resistors R1, R2, R3, R4, R5 and R6. The term $K1 > 0$ is determined by the product of the voltage +V multiplied by an appropriate relationship among said resistors. In the plane (V_{DS}, V_{GS}) of FIG. 5 the voltage V1 corresponds to a straight line with positive slope (not shown in the figure) parallel to the segment G2'.

The operational amplifier OP2 is an inverting voltage adder with a diode at the output which introduces a threshold value for the voltage V_{GS} before which the output signal V2 is null. The voltage V2 is given by the following expression:

$$V2 = (-\mu_2 V_{GS} - K2) \times F_{scal}(V_{GS} - V_{SL})$$

where $-\mu_2$ is the closed loop voltage gain of the adder OP2 which is determined by appropriate values of the resistors R7, R8, R9, R10, R11 and R12. $-K2$ is a term which depends on the product of the voltage +V multiplied by an appropriate relationship among the above resistors. F_{scal} is the step function which is 0 for $|V_{GS}| \leq V_{SL}$ and is 1 for $|V_{GS}| > V_{SL}$, where V_{SL} is an appropriately preset threshold value. In conclusion we have $V2 = 0$ for $|V_{GS}| \leq V_{SL}$ and $V2 = -\mu_2 V_{GS} - K2$ for $|V_{GS}| > V_{SL}$; assuming $V_{SL} = -1.3$ V the above relations define a semi-straight line (not shown in FIG. 5) with negative slope and beginning at A.

The operational amplifier OP3 is an inverting amplifier whose output voltage V3 is given by the expression $V3 = -\mu_3 V_{K3}$, where $-\mu_3$ is the closed loop voltage gain of the amplifier OP3 determined by appropriate values of the resistors R13, R14 and R15, and V_{K3} is a voltage which depends on the same resistors and on the voltage V_{D2} existing between the anode and the cathode of the diode D2 in conduction. As may be seen, V3 does not depend on V_{GS} and accordingly in the plane (V_{DS}, V_{GS}) of FIG. 5, V3 corresponds to a straight line (not shown in the figures) parallel to the axis V_{GS} and distant $-V_{K3}$ therefrom. The voltage V3 compensates the current variations $i_D(t)$ caused by thermal variations of the gate-source junction of the MESFET T1.

The operational amplifier OP4 is a noninverting voltage adder whose output voltage V_{DS} is given by the expression $V_{DS} = \mu_4 (V1 + V2 + V3)$, where μ_4 is the closed loop voltage gain of the

amplifier OP4 determined by appropriated values of the resistors R16, R20.

Assuming $\mu_1 = 1$, the voltage $V_{DS} = V_1 + V_2 + V_3$ is represented by the curve G2 of FIG. 5, which can be obtained by selecting first the point A (1,-1.3) and then assigning the values of μ_1 , μ_2 e μ_3 , with the condition $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$.

The circuit shown in FIG. 1 lends itself to broad generalizations about the range of operating frequencies, both for the value of the power to be stabilized and the type of transistor used.

For example, it is possible to choose at the output a high order harmonic in the microwave range starting from an oscillator signal with very much lower frequency. In this case the input circuitry of T1 is made using discrete components while the output part is of microstrip. In general, depending on the oscillator signal frequency, it is necessary to correctly dimension the values of C1, L1, L2 and choose the most cost-effective transistor on the basis of the harmonic order preselected, the value of C2 and the centre-band frequency of the filter FPB. It may prove advantageous, if the case allows, to adopt a tunable band-pass filter FPB, i.e. whose pass-band can be tuned at will around any one of the harmonics included in the output signal of T1.

The curves G1 and G2 of FIG. 5 relate to the case of a second harmonic with power to be stabilized of 8dBm which, as shown by the curves P_{fet} and P_{gen} of FIG. 2, is a value near the maximum possible for T1. Lower powers to stabilize give rise for a given harmonic to a family of curves $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ similar to those of FIG. 5 all mutually parallel. The form of the regulating curve $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ with the variation of the harmonic order selected at the output does not depart significantly from the exponential one shown by the curve G1 (FIG. 5). However, the decay constant can vary but this does not affect the possibility of using the control circuit CONTR on condition of selecting an appropriate point A in the approximation to two segments of the curve G2 (FIG. 5).

It is also possible to synthesize the generic regulation function $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ by approximating it with a broken line with more than two segments; in this case the circuit CONTR of FIG. 6 must include N-1 inverting adders like OP2, N being the number of segments of the broken line. The only precaution is to vary the gain of the amplifiers and the diode intervention thresholds.

As concerns T1, if the frequencies involved allow, it is possible to use a bipolar transistor (BJT). Indeed, both the FET and the BJT are active devices with three terminals and can be considered, as concerns the controlled signal, as current generators, voltage-controlled for FET or current-controlled for BJT. As is known, the above devices,

to operate, must be polarized by means of appropriate resistive networks connected to the battery. The input signal level and the polarization voltages and currents determine the manner of operation of the transistor.

In the case of BJT, the control circuit CONTR varies the polarization voltage V_{CE} between the collector and emitter terminals in accordance with a regulating function $V_{CE}(I_B)$ which has a trend similar to that of the function $V_{DS}(V_{GS})$ determined for the FET. The basic polarization current I_B is supplied primarily by the oscillator signal $v_i \cos(\omega_o t)$ rectified by the above mentioned junction.

Lastly, it is possible to introduce a minimal variation in the circuit of FIG. 1 by putting in series with L2 a resistor having a value approximately equal to the channel resistance r_o to sensitize the action of the control circuit CONTR. In the case of BJT it is cost-effective to introduce said added resistor in series with the emitter. In both cases the control circuit CONTR must supply a regulation voltage equal to the previous one plus a constant value to allow for circulation of the direct component I_D of the current $i_D(t)$ on the added resistor. The overall regulation voltage can be obtained by adding an appropriate voltage divider at the noninverting input of OP4 (FIG. 6).

Claims

1. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier comprising a transistor which amplifies and distorts an oscillator signal and a band-pass filter which selects a preestablished N-order harmonic included in the transistor output current and characterized in that between the input terminals (G-S) and output terminals (D-S) of said transistor is connected, through appropriate connection means, an automatic level control circuit (CONTR) comprising voltage and current generator means (OP1, OP2, OP3, OP4, D1, D2) for polarization of the transistor (T1) and said means generate a variable polarization voltage (V_{DS}) developing a control voltage (V_{GS}) directly correlated with the power level (P_{in}) of the oscillator signal $v_i \cos(\omega_o t)$; and in that the level of said polarization voltage (V_{DS}) varies in a manner inversely correlated with the variation in the level of said control voltage while holding constant the power (P_{out}) of the N-order harmonic present at the output of the band-pass filter (FPB).
2. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with claim 1 characterized in that said oscillator signal reaches the input terminals (G-S) of the transistor (T1) through a high-pass

filter ($C1, R_G$); and in that the transistor (T1) half-wave rectifies the oscillator signal, generating at the output of said high-pass filter ($C1, R_G$) a direct component which coincides with said control voltage (V_{GS}).

3. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with claim 1 characterized in that said connection means comprise:

- a first inductor (L1) which connects the output of said high-pass filter ($C1, R_G$) with the input (1) of said control circuit (CONTR), allowing said control voltage (V_{GS}) to pass and blocking said oscillator signal, and
- a second inductor (L2) placed in series with the output (2) of said control circuit (CONTR) which lets pass only the direct component (I_D) of the output current ($I_D(t)$) of said transistor (T1).

4. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with claim 1 characterized in that said means belonging to said control circuit (CONTR) comprise:

- a first device (OP1) which generates a first voltage ($V1$) subtracting, from an initially preestablished voltage, a voltage obtained by multiplying by a first multiplicative constant (μ_1) the absolute value of said control voltage (V_{GS}),
- second devices (OP2, D1) which generate second voltages ($V2$) by multiplying, by respective second constants (μ_2) the absolute value of said control voltage (V_{GS}) and generate null voltages until said absolute control voltage value is less than a threshold value assigned to each second device,
- a third device (OP3, D2) which generates a voltage varying as a function of the temperature and compensating the thermal gain variation of the transistor (T1), and
- a fourth device (OP4) which generates said variable polarization voltage (V_{DS}) of the transistor (T1) by adding the voltages generated by said first, second and third devices.

5. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with claim 4 characterized in that said threshold values are mutually different, said first multiplicative constant is greater than or equal to the larger of said second multiplicative constants and said second multiplicative constants (μ_2) are inversely correlated with the threshold values of the respective second de-

vices.

6. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with claim 4 characterized in that:

- said first device is a first operational amplifier (OP1) inverting voltage adder whose gain (μ_1) corresponds to said first multiplicative constant,
- said second devices are second operational amplifiers (OP2) noninverting voltage adders each having in series with the output a diode (D1) which conducts for absolute control voltage values (V_{GS}) greater than said respective threshold value and wherein the gain (μ_2) of said second operationals (OP2) corresponds to said second multiplicative constants,
- said third device is a third inverting operational amplifier (OP3) which amplifies the anode-cathode voltage of a diode (D2) in conduction, and
- said fourth device is a fourth operational amplifier (OP4) noninverting voltage adder.

7. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with claim 6 characterized in that said fourth operational amplifier (OP4) limits the maximum current (I_D) circulating in the transistor (T1).

8. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with claim 4 characterized in that said initially preestablished voltage is approximately the supply voltage, the number of said second devices (OP2, D1) is one and said respective threshold value is approximately one third the maximum value of said control voltage (V_{GS}).

9. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with claim 1 characterized in that said transistor is a MESFET, the oscillator signal frequency is in the microwave range (12GHz) and said band-pass filter (FPB) allows the second harmonic (24GHz) of the oscillator signal to pass.

10. Radiofrequency frequency multiplier in accordance with claim 1 or 3 characterized in that in series with said second inductor (L2) is connected a resistor having a value approximately equal to that of the resistor offered by said transistor (T1) between its output terminals (D-S).




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 92 11 9734

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.5)
A	EP-A-0 241 742 (HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY) * page 3, line 30 - page 12, line 10; figure 4 *	1	H03B19/14 H03G3/30
A	US-A-3 808 539 (R.J. MARTIN) * column 1, line 35 - column 3, line 49; figure 1 *	1	
A	DE-A-2 155 982 (LICENTIA PATENT-VERWALTUNGS-GMBH) * page 1, line 1 - page 4, line 6; figure 1 *		
A	US-A-4 398 153 (O.E. RITTENBACH) * abstract; figures 3-15 *	1	
A	US-A-3 156 834 (A.L. STILLWELL) -----		
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.5)
			H03B H03L H03G
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 02 MARCH 1993	Examiner DHONDT I.E.E.
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS			
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		I : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons * : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

EPO FORM 1503 03/92 (P0401)

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.